

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/730,175	XUN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos Lopez	1731	

All Participants:

(1) Carlos Lopez.

(2) Bruce watson.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 February 2007

Time: 11am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd rejection of claims 26-45

Claims discussed:

26,33 and 38

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 2/19/07
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant that the 112 2nd paragraph rejection made in the previous office action was not address. In order to overcome the 112 2nd oaragraoh rejection, ilt was agreed upon to amendment the independent claims to specify that the cooling rate is defined as the change of temperature per inch traveled by the glass during the draw. .